

1 **Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution, Vol 52 Issue 2, March, 2005. P. 145-149.**

2

3

4 **New sources of resistance to race Ro1 of the Golden**
5 **nematode (*Globodera rostochiensis* Woll.) among**
6 **reputed duplicate germplasm accessions of *Solanum***
7 ***tuberosum* subsp. *andigena* in the VIR (Russian) and US**
8 **Potato Genebanks**

9

10 Stepan Kiru¹, Svetlana Makovskaya², John Bamberg^{3*} & Alfonso del Rio⁴

11 ¹N. Vavilov Research Institute of Plant Industry (VIR), B. Morskaya str. 42, St. Petersburg,
12 190000, RUSSIA; E-mail: step_kiru@imail.ru

13 ²All Russian Plant Protection Institute, Podbelskoe shosse 3, Poushkin, St. Petersburg 196608,
14 RUSSIA

15 ³USDA, Agricultural Research Service, US Potato Genebank, 4312 Hwy 42, Sturgeon Bay, WI 54235 USA.
16 (*Author for correspondence; E-mail: nr6jb@ars-grin.gov)

17 ⁴University of Wisconsin-Madison, Department of Horticulture, 1575 Linden Drive, Madison, WI 53706 USA.

18

19 **Key Words:** genebank, *Globodera rostochiensis*, nematode, potato, *Solanum tuberosum*
20 subsp. *andigenum*, *Solanum andigena*

21

22 **Abbreviations:**

23 USPG: US Potato Genebank (see Bamberg's affiliation)

24 PCN: Golden potato cyst nematode, *Globodera rostochiensis* Woll.

25 RAPD: Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA

26

27

28 **Abstract**

29

30 Cultivated *Solanum tuberosum* subsp. *andigena* is well known as a rich
31 source of valuable traits for potato breeding, especially for resistance to
32 diseases and pests. The Potato cyst nematode, *Globodera rostochiensis*
33 Woll., is considered to be one of today's most serious hindrances to potato
34 production in Europe and North America. Thus, the breeding of new
35 cultivars that have resistance to PCN is of great importance. The USPG
36 (USA) and VIR (Russian) potato genebanks, as well as others, maintain
37 many samples of primitive cultivated and wild potato species originating
38 from Latin America. Many of these samples are assumed to be genetically
39 duplicate because the material in both genebanks came from the same
40 original source. A joint investigation of new genotypes of subsp. *andigena*
41 forms resistant to Potato Cyst Nematode (PCN) was carried out on samples
42 of subsp. *andigena* at VIR with reputed duplicate samples at USPG. After

43 careful screening, 14 samples which possessed resistance to PCN were
44 identified. A high level of this resistance was transmitted to sexual progeny
45 at a high frequency for all of the selections. Eleven of the accessions found
46 to be resistant have reputed duplicates in USPG that were not previously
47 known to be resistant. Thus, this research not only broadens the choice of
48 parents available for resistance breeding, but identifies model materials for
49 future research testing the parity of PCN resistance among reputed duplicate
50 samples in the two genebanks.

51

52 **Introduction**

53 Potato Cyst Nematode continues to inflict significant damage on
54 potato production in some Eastern European countries. Control is very
55 difficult and expensive because PCN lives and overwinters in soil where
56 chemical control is difficult and expensive. Thus, the best method known
57 for controlling PCN is to create potato cultivars with genetic resistance.

58 A practical method of breeding potatoes with resistance became
59 possible after the work of C. Ellenby (1954), who first began to evaluate the
60 potato germplasm in the Commonwealth Potato Collection (CPC) in the
61 UK. He was the first to find resistance to nematodes in *S. tuberosum* subsp.
62 *andigena*, a tetraploid species cultivated in Latin America. Resistant
63 accessions were CPC 1673, 1685, 1692, and 1595.

64 In the decades following, further investigations were carried out in
65 different countries (Rothacker and Stelter 1957, Ross 1986) regarding the
66 nature of resistance in *subsp. andigena*. An active form of immunity was
67 found in which larvae hatch on roots, but are unable to complete the cyst
68 development cycle.

69 Resistance to pathotype Rol in *subsp. andigena* is determined by a
70 single dominant gene, H1 (Cole and Howard 1957, Rothacker and Stelter
71 1957, Toxopeus and Huijsman 1952 & 1953, Huijsman 1955, Huijsman
72 1960). However, resistance genetics may be much more diverse (Ross
73 1969). Resistance to other nematodes has also been derived from *subsp.*
74 *andigena* (Brodie et al. 1991).

75 Resistance from the H1 gene has been incorporated into several
76 commercial varieties (e.g., Plaisted et. al 2001) that are available as parents
77 for breeding. Germplasm with resistance to multiple races of PCN has also
78 been developed (Brodie et al. 2000).

79 During the last three decades more than 40 samples possessing
80 resistance to PCN were discovered among the collection of 2,690 *subsp.*
81 *andigena* accessions at the N. Vavilov Research Institute (VIR)(Kiru and
82 Sdvizhkova 1999). However, of the approximately 850 accessions of *subsp.*

83 *andigena* at the US Potato Genebank (USPG), only 9 have been reported to
84 be resistant (Hanneman and Bamberg 1987, Bamberg et al. 1994).
85 Identifying a broader array of resistance sources opens the door for research
86 to determine if useful variation in Ro1 resistance is present in these
87 materials.

88 The USPG and VIR potato genebanks, as well as others, maintain
89 many samples of primitive cultivated and wild potato species originating
90 from Latin America (Hijmans and Spooner 2001). In many cases,
91 genebanks have reputed duplicates (Huaman et al. 2000). Such accessions
92 originated from the same initial source population and are identified as being
93 the same material, so evaluation data from one genebank is often attributed
94 to the duplicated sample in other genebanks. Such sharing of evaluation
95 data across genebanks is a great benefit to breeders since it lessens the need
96 for duplicate screening. The duplicate sample within a breeder's own
97 country is also much more readily accessible, since quarantine testing of
98 potato germplasm from other countries is usually required. However, since
99 duplicate samples have been stored and propagated sexually under different
100 conditions, they may not be true duplicates in the genetic sense. Indeed,
101 significant differences in the presence of DNA markers have been
102 demonstrated for *subsp. andigena* from VIR and USPG (Bamberg et al.
103 2001).

104 The main objective of this study was to screen accessions from the
105 VIR *subsp. andigena* collection for resistance to PCN to expand the
106 diversity of parental material available for use in resistance breeding
107 (Howard et al. 1970). In addition, since the accessions tested had reputed
108 duplicates in the USPG, finding resistance would identify materials in USPG
109 with potential resistance which would serve as a model system for testing
110 the parity of reputed duplicates with respect to expression of an economic
111 trait.

112

113 **Materials and Methods**

114 The evaluation was conducted at VIR using 115 of the 144 *subsp.*
115 *andigena* accessions in the VIR potato genebank with reputed duplicates in
116 USPG (Bamberg et al. 1996). The 115 seed populations tested in this
117 experiment included 34 different forms originating in Argentina, Peru,
118 Bolivia, Colombia, Mexico and Ecuador. Plants were evaluated for
119 resistance to PCN race Ro1 after artificial infection. Inheritance of
120 resistance was then tested in the progeny of the selected tubers.

121 The plant materials were evaluated in a greenhouse with 14 h light
122 (2000 lux) at 20-23°C. They were grown in pots with a diameter of 10 cm.

123 Each pot was filled with soil, and infected with 500 cysts with viable larva.
124 Each of the 115 populations was represented by 5 tuberlings in the initial
125 evaluation. Accessions were considered resistant only if all 5 clones were
126 resistant. In this way, 14 accessions were found to be resistant. Clones
127 within each resistant accession were selfed and the seeds bulked. Then, 30
128 of these seedling progeny were tested again by the same method. The
129 susceptible cultivar Nevsky and its self progeny were used as susceptible
130 controls in the initial and progeny tests, respectively. Finally, the 14
131 selected clones were crossed with susceptible subsp. *tuberosum* cultivars
132 (Table 3), and F₁ seedling progeny were also evaluated by the same method.
133 The presence of root cysts was visually detected on the entire root ball
134 after two months. Plants were classified as resistant if the number of viable
135 cysts they produced were less than 2, susceptible if 2-50 cysts were
136 produced, and very susceptible if more than 50 cysts were produced.
137

138 **Results and Discussion**

139 Table 1 lists by country of origin, the accessions with plants
140 determined to be resistant (less than two viable cysts produced) in the initial
141 test. Five different South American countries and Mexico are represented,
142 showing that genotypes possessing resistance to PCN may be found not only
143 among the Bolivian and Peruvian forms of *subsp. andigena*, as is sometimes
144 assumed (Howard et al. 1970) but also from Argentina, Mexico, and
145 Colombia. The Argentine samples examined that were found to be resistant
146 confirm the assumption of Brücher (1954) that there is a high probability of
147 finding resistant forms among wild and cultivated potato species originating
148 in any provinces of Argentina infected by the nematode.

149 Our results do not support the conclusions of some authors (Kameraz
150 and Ponin, 1974) that diversity in the number of *G. rostochiensis* resistant
151 forms of subsp. *andigena* is limited.

152 The result of many tests over three years shows that subsp. *andigena*
153 is a rich source of race Ro1 PCN resistant genotypes useful for breeding. Of
154 the 115 screened samples, 14 (about one-eighth) expressed strong resistance.
155 A high proportion of self seedlings derived from clones of these 14 resistant
156 accessions were also resistant (Table 2). None of the self seedling progeny
157 listed in Table 2 are less than 50% resistant at $p \leq 0.05$. Resistance of the
158 self progeny not only confirms the resistance of parental clones from the 14
159 selected accessions, but demonstrates that the inheritance of resistance is
160 likely simple and dominant. When 10 of the 14 selected clones were
161 crossed with susceptible cultivars, 65% of the progeny were resistant (Table
162 3).

163 One of the accessions determined to be highly resistant was PI 205624
164 / VIR 23696. This result might be expected since this accession is a hybrid
165 of CPC 1673. Samples from PI 205624 / VIR 23696 and PI 230457 / VIR
166 23704 were reported resistant in both genebanks (Table 2), although reputed
167 duplicate samples of these accessions in the two genebanks had only about
168 90% of (Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA) RAPD bands in common
169 (Bamberg et al. 2001).

170 This screening identified new resistance to PCN in *subsp. andigena*
171 from various countries. Particularly interesting is the discovery of numerous
172 resistant accessions from Mexico, from which no resistant accessions have
173 been previously reported. Eleven of the accessions found to be resistant
174 have reputed duplicates in USPG that were not previously known to be
175 resistant.

176 The work described here does not prove that the new sources of PCN
177 resistance possess any breeding value beyond that already widely deployed
178 in the H1 gene. However, a search for useful allelic diversity at the H1 locus
179 or other potentially useful modifier loci would logically be conducted within
180 germplasm in which resistance had naturally evolved. Our work identifies
181 such germplasm for future breeding and genetic studies.

182 Thus, this research not only potentially broadens the choice of parents
183 available for resistance breeding, but identifies model materials for future
184 research to test the parity of PCN resistance among reputed duplicate
185 samples in the two genebanks.

186

187 **Acknowledgments**

188 The authors express their thanks to Dr. Guskova L.A of the Laboratory of
189 Nematodes, All Russian Plant Protection Institute, for assistance in
190 methodology of screening.

191

192 **References**

- 193 Bamberg, J. B., S. D. Kiru & A. H. del Rio, 2001. Comparison of reputed
194 duplicate populations in the Russian and US potato genebanks using
195 RAPD markers. *Am J. Potato Res.* 78:365-369.
- 196 Bamberg, J.B., M.W. Martin, J.J. Schartner, & D.M. Spooner, 1996.
197 Inventory of tuber-bearing *Solanum* species. Potato Introduction
198 Station, NRSP-6. Sturgeon Bay, Wisconsin, USA. 110 p.
- 199 Bamberg, J. B., Max W. Martin & J. J. Schartner, 1994. Elite selections of
200 tuber-bearing *Solanum* species germplasm. Univ. of Wisc. Press. 67 pp.

- 201 Brodie, B.B, R.L. Plaisted & M. M. Scurrah, 1991. The incorporation of
202 resistance to *Globodera pallida* into *Solanum tuberosum* germplasm
203 adapted to North America. Am J. Potato Res. 68:1-11.
- 204 Brodie, B.B., M. M. Scurrah, & R. L. Plaisted, 2000. Release of germplasm
205 resistant to multiple races of potato cyst nematodes. Am. J. Potato Res.
206 77:207-209.
- 207 Brücher, H., 1954. Cytologische und ökologische Beobachtungen an
208 nordargentinischen *Solanum*-Arten der Section Tuberarium. Teil I. Die
209 Wildkartoffelarten der Aconquija-Gebirge. "Züchter", Bd. 24, 10.
- 210 Cole, C.S. & Howard, H.W, 1957. The genetics of resistance to potato root
211 eel worm of *Solanum tuberosum*, *subsp. andigena* clone CPC 1690.
212 Euphytica 6: 242-246.
- 213 Ellenby, C., 1954. Tuber forming species and varieties of the genus *Solanum*
214 test of resistance to the potato root eel worm (*Heterodera rostochiensis*
215 Woll.). Euphytica 3:195-202.
- 216 Hanneman, R.E. Jr., & J.B. Bamberg, 1987. Inventory of tuber- bearing
217 *Solanum* species. Bulletin 533 of Research Division of the College of
218 Agriculture and Life Sciences, University of Wisconsin-Madison, 216
219 pp.
- 220 Hijmans, R. J. & D. M. Spooner, 2001. Geographic distribution of wild
221 potato species. Am. J. Botany 88:2101-2112.
- 222 Howard, H.W., G.J. Cole & J.M. Fuller, 1970. Further sources of resistance
223 to *Heterodera rostochiensis* Woll. in the *andigena* Potato. Euphytica 19:
224 210-216.
- 225 Huaman, Z., R. Hoekstra, & J. Bamberg, 2000. The intergenebank potato
226 database and the dimensions of available wild potato germplasm. Am J.
227 Potato Res. 77:353-362.
- 228 Huisman, C.A., 1955. Breeding for resistance to potato root eelworm. II.
229 Data on the inheritance in *ssp. andigena-tuberosum* crosses obtained in
230 1954. Euphytica 4:130-140.
- 231 Huisman, C.A., 1960. Some data on the resistance against the potato root-
232 eelworm, *Heterodera rostochiensis* (Woll.) in *Solanum kurtzianum*.
233 Euphytica 9: 185-190.
- 234 Kameraz, A.Y. & I.Y. Ponin, 1974. Initial material and prospects of its use
235 in potato breeding for resistance to *Heterodera rostochiensis* Woll. Bull.
236 of Appl. Bot., Genet. and Plant Breeding. VIR, Leningrad. Vol.53,
237 fasc.1:199-215.
- 238 Kiru, S.D. & V. P. Sdvizhkova, 1999. Katalog mirovoi collectzii VIR N 707
239 - Kartoffel - Culturny vid *Solanum andigenum* Juz. et Buk. Vavilov
240 Institute (VIR, St. Petersburg, Russia) publication No. 707. 22 pp.

- 241 Plaisted, R.L., D.E. Halseth, B.B. Brodie, S.A. Slack, J.B. Sieczka, B.J.
242 Christ, K.M. Paddock & M.W. Peck, 2001. Eva: a midseason golden
243 nematode- and virus-resistant variety for use as tablestock or chipstock.
244 Am. J. Potato Res. 78:65-68.
- 245 Ross, H., 1969. Züchtung von Kartoffelsorten mit resistenz genen
246 *Heterodera rostochiensis* Woll. Mitteilungen aus der Biologische
247 Bundesanstalt für Land und Forstwirtschaft 136:59-64.
- 248 Ross, H., 1986. Potato breeding-problems and perspectives. Advances in
249 plant Breeding, Supplement 13 to Journal of Plant Breeding. M. Ross ed.
250 Paul Parey, Berlin-Hamburg.
- 251 Rothacker D. & H. Stelter, 1957. Beiträge zur Resistenzzüchtung gegen den
252 Kartoffelnematoden (*Heterodera rostochiensis* Woll.). II.
253 Untersuchungen über die Vererbung der Nematodenresistenz bei den
254 Arten *S. vernei* Bitt. et Witm. and *S.tuberosum* L. ssp. *andigenum* (Buk)
255 Hawkes. Züchter, 27, N7.
- 256 Toxopeus H.J. & C.A. Huijsman, 1952. Genotypical background of
257 resistance to *Heterodera rostochienis* in *Solanum tuberosum*, ssp,
258 *andigenum*. Nature 170:1016.
- 259 Toxopeus, H.J. & C.A. Huijsman, 1953. Breeding for resistance to Potato
260 root eel worm. Euphytica 2:180-186.

261 *Table 1.* Country of origin of selected *S. ssp. andigena* Juz. et Buk.
 262 accessions resistant to *G. rostochiensis* race Ro1

263

264

Origin	N° of accessions screened	N° of resistant accessions	Percent
Argentina	23	2	9
Bolivia	17	2	12
Colombia	20	1	5
Ecuador	9	0	0
Mexico	19	5	26
Peru	22	4	3
Total	115	14	12

265

266 Table 2. Segregation of resistance in seedlings derived from self pollination
 267 of resistant *S. ssp. andigena* clones
 268

PI number (USPG)	K number (VIR)	Collector Number	Country of origin	N° of seedlings tested	Number of resistant (R), susceptible (S) and very susceptible (VS) seedlings ^b		
					0-1cysts (R)	2-50 cysts (S)	>50cysts (VS)
160215	23688	COR 14220A	MEX	50	24	26	-
161136	22034	COR 14261	MEX	50	27	23	-
161683	23691	COR 14434	MEX	50	19	31	-
161716	21655	COR 14380	MEX	50	24	19	7
195162	23694	CPC 300	PER	50	34	16	-
205624*	23696	CPC 1673 ^a	BOL	50	39	11	-
214427	23699	SMI 454	PER	50	32	18	-
214430	23700	SMI 460	PER	50	37	13	-
230457*	23704	CPC 1464	PER	50	33	8	9
233982	21665	GND 16	BOL	50	28	22	-
243415	17165	CCC 249	COL	50	19	27	4
243430	17172	CCC 330	ARG	50	32	18	-
246516*	23719	COR P204	ARG	50	30	20	-
285017	21683	UGN 1098	MEX	50	26	24	-
Average					28.9	19.7	1.4
Control	Nevsky		RUS	50	-	6	44

269

270 ^a hybrid seed271 ^bNone significantly less than 50% resistant at $p \leq 0.05$ 272 * Reported as resistant in USPG screening records (see Hanneman and Bamberg, 1987
 273 and USPG homepage: <http://www.ars-grin.gov/nr6>).

274

275 *Table 3. The inheritance of resistance to G. rostochiensis Ro1 in progeny of*
 276 *ten selected S. ssp. andigena forms crossed with susceptible cultivars*

277
 278

F ₁ cross ^a	Total N ^o of seedlings	Segregation of resistance in seedlings ^b		
		S	R	%R
Lugovskoy x PI 161893	94	22	72	76
Romashka x PI 214427	87	25	62	71
Nevsky x PI 160215	79	20	59	74
Rozhdestvenskii x PI 195162	87	26	61	70
Orbita x PI 205624	89	29	60	67
PI 214430 x Zarevo	83	17	66	79
PI 230457 x Peterburgsky	77	18	59	76
PI 246516 x Gybrydny14	90	41	49	54
Udacha x PI 243430	82	19	63	76
Peterburgsky x PI 233982	95	27	54	70
Average				65
Nevsky x PI 243384*	97	97	0	0

279

280 ^a Resistant parent given as USPG germplasm number. See Table 2. for VIR number

281

282 ^b S= susceptible (>2 viable cysts), R= resistant (0-1 viable cysts).

283

284 *Control cross of susceptible cultivar Nevsky x susceptible *Solanum* subsp. *andigena*